

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/685,307	KITTOCK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alison K. Pickard	3676	

All Participants:

(1) Alison K. Pickard.

(2) Wei-Ning Yang.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all of record

Claims discussed:

all of record

Prior art documents discussed:

all of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called with a suggestion for the claims to make them allowable over the prior art of record. The suggestion further clarifies that the sealing member, and thus the second gap (between member and moving member), has dimensions that do not change from assembly to operating pressure. The prior art of record shows various sealing members that are constricted or expanded at some point during operation to achieve the small gap. The suggestion was approved and entered via examiner's amendment.